

# **Report for 2005NE100B: Beaver in Agricultural Watersheds: Potential for Mitigating Degraded Midwestern Streams**

## **Publications**

- Conference Proceedings:
  - McCullough, M.C., D.E. Eisenhauer, M.G. Dosskey, and D.M. Admiraal. 2006. Hydraulic characteristics of beaver dams in a Midwestern U.S. agricultural watershed. Proceedings of the ASCE/EWRI Conference, May 22-23, Omaha, NE.

## **Report Follows**

2005NE104B  
WBS# 25-6254-0016-008  
March 1, 2005-February 28, 2006

## **Beaver in Agricultural Watersheds: Potential for Mitigating Degraded Midwestern Streams**

**Principal Investigators:** Dean E. Eisenhauer, C. William Zanner, Scott E. Hygnstrom and Micheal G. Dosskey

**Graduate Student:** M. Carla McCullough, USDA National Needs Ph.D. Fellow

### ***Problem and Objectives***

In our study watershed in Eastern Nebraska, the majority of land has been converted from tallgrass prairie to agricultural land use with 75% of land currently used for row crops. Between 1904 and 1915, many stream channels in southeastern Nebraska were dredged and straightened (Wahl and Weiss 1988), resulting in shorter and steeper channels. These changes have resulted in severe channel incision and stream bank instability in eastern Nebraska and throughout the deep loess regions of the central United States (Lohnes 1997). This trend towards continued channel degradation continues to this day (Zellars and Hotchkiss 1997), creating environmental and economic concerns.

Beaver can affect geomorphology of streams in ways that may counteract the channel degrading processes. Beaver dams reduce stream velocities, causing increased sediment deposition behind the dams (Naiman 1986) and giving the channel gradient a stair-step profile (Naiman 1988). In a forested ecosystem, Naiman et al. (1986) studied beaver dams in 4th order and smaller streams and found that individual dams held between 2000 – 6500 m<sup>3</sup> of sediment. There was an average of 10.6 beaver dams/km of stream. They calculated that approximately 10,000 m<sup>3</sup> sediment/km of channel were retained by beaver dams in two forest watersheds in Quebec, Canada.

Beaver dams may help stabilize and aggrade incised stream channels in agricultural watersheds in eastern Nebraska. However, the influence of beaver dams on channel morphology and stability in developed agricultural areas is not known. Beavers were almost exterminated in this region by the early 1900's, but populations have been increasing (Denney 1952).

The objectives of this study were to (1) document temporal and spatial variation in beaver dam locations and hydraulic status along a 1<sup>st</sup> through 3<sup>rd</sup>-order reach of an Eastern Nebraska stream, (2) measure the hydraulic characteristics of beaver dams, and (3) model the short and long-term hydrologic and sedimentation impacts of beaver dams on an agricultural stream.

### ***Methodology***

The field observation portion of this study was conducted on Little Muddy Creek, Otoe County, in southeast Nebraska. The stream reach surveyed is perennial and was surveyed from first through third-order (Strahler 1957). The first- through second-order portion of the survey has 430 hectares of upland watershed. The total contributing watershed area to the end of 3<sup>rd</sup>-order reach is approximately 1813 hectares. Land use in the watershed is mainly agricultural, with approximately 75% of the land in cropland and 25% in pasture or Conservation Reserve Program (CRP). The predominant soils in the uplands are eroded, fine-textured loess and glacial till soils with slopes from 2 – 7 %. In the drainages, soils are clay and silt loams and silty clays.

Little Muddy Creek is a tributary to the Little Nemaha River, which drains into the Missouri River. According to Soenksen (2003) land clearing prior to the 1930's increased surface runoff, soil erosion from the uplands, and sedimentation in the streams, which lead to increased flooding. To reduce flooding the Little Nemaha River and its tributaries were dredged, straightened and cleared of vegetation starting in the early 1900's. This disturbance is regarded as the main cause of present channel incision throughout the basin (Rus 2003). In the upper reaches of the basin, Muddy Creek was straightened between 1947 and 1953. Knickpoints from channel straightening migrated upstream and most effects on streambeds have already occurred (Rus 2003). In our study area, knickpoints are still evident, working up the 1<sup>st</sup> order portions of the streams. It is estimated that in the Little Nemaha River Basin, streambed degradation since settlement averaged 1.9 meters for 21 bridge locations throughout the basin (Rus 2003).

The study stream reach was 8810 m long from the uppermost 1<sup>st</sup>-order tributaries to the furthest downstream 3<sup>rd</sup>-order reach surveyed. The stream reach was surveyed over a period from 2003 – 2005 for beaver dam locations and local water level drop over dams. According to McCullough et al. (2004), beaver activity aggraded the channel an average of 0.65 m and trapped 1730 t of sediment during a 12-year period for a 785 m long affected reach of this stream.

Surveys were conducted on seven different dates from 2003 – 2005. The surveys were conducted on the first-order through third-order reaches of the stream. On each date, locations of beaver dams were recorded using a mapping grade GPS unit. At each beaver dam, the drop in the water surface upstream to downstream was measured with a hand level and survey rod. Dams were classified as either “intact” or

“damaged” based on whether or not they were in good repair or had breached. A breach in the dam allowed water levels to drop behind the dam; however some breached dams still ponded water and had a localized water level drop. Photographs and field notes were used to record recent evidence of the presence of beaver, such as recent gnawing on trees by beaver, active dam building, and evidence of den locations.

Earlier surveys conducted in 2003 and 2004 were done on first- through second-order reaches of Little Muddy Creek, where the total stream length was 670 m with 270 m of 1<sup>st</sup>-order and 400 m of 2<sup>nd</sup>-order reaches. In 2005, the survey area was extended 6610 m downstream to the confluence with Muddy Creek with 2680 m of 2<sup>nd</sup>-order and 3930 m of 3<sup>rd</sup>-order streams. Total stream length surveyed along the Little Muddy was 7280 m along the main channel.


Surveys were conducted during late winter, spring and summer, allowing for comparison of beaver dam structures during these seasons. One survey was conducted to document damage to beaver dams following a large storm runoff event. The May 21, 2005 survey of late spring conditions was repeated one week later on May 28, 2005 following a 25-year storm during which increased stream flows from storm runoff resulted in structural damage to beaver dams.

To measure hydraulic characteristics of a beaver dam, a laboratory study was conducted in the hydraulics laboratory at the Biological Systems Engineering Department at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln. A replica beaver dam was constructed and installed in the open channel of the lab to examine the effects of roughness (sticks) on the surface on the rating curve of the dam.

A replica beaver dam was constructed in the laboratory based on the survey of a beaver dam on Little Muddy Creek (Figure 1). Actual dimensions of the beaver dam were replicated in the laboratory, with the exception of the actual dam width. The laboratory channel was 0.91 m wide, while the actual beaver dam surveyed was 3.7 m wide. The replica beaver dam consisted of a pressure-treated plywood structure installed into the laboratory channel. The smooth plywood simulates the smooth mud surfaces on the upstream slope of beaver dams that we observed. Sticks from actual beaver dams in the field were collected and attached to the plywood replica dam to mimic roughness observed in the field. They were fastened to the dam with small wire.

Field observations and photos were used to mimic the structure of the sticks on the dam. Larger diameter sticks were first attached to the bottom of the downstream face of the dam with gradually smaller diameter sticks being attached while working up the downstream dam face. The pattern of stick attachment was similar to shingling a roof, with the sticks on the top of the dam covering the sticks further down the dam on the

downstream face. No sticks were attached to the upstream face of the dam, replicating the smooth mud surface of the beaver dams observed in our watershed.



**Figure 1.** Representation of the side profile of a beaver dam on Little Muddy Creek. Drawing is not to scale.

Two phases of tests were conducted on the replica dam. First, a series of control tests were run on the smooth plywood shell of the dam. Second, beaver dam sticks were attached to the top and downstream face of the replica dam and the series of tests was repeated. Four flow rates were used for both control and experimental tests: 0.014, 0.042, 0.071 and 0.11 m<sup>3</sup>/s. Flow was measured using a 15.24 cm venturi for the two lowest flow rates and a 25.4 cm venturi for the two largest flow rates.

Once each flow rate was established, 10 minutes was allowed for water levels to stabilize in the channel. A point gage was then used to measure water surface elevation at 10 points along the centerline of the channel, from the top of the dam to 4.6 m upstream of the dam. A reference height was established at the top of the dam's front edge centerline and was the same for both the smooth dam and replica beaver dam. Two replications were done for each flow rate tested for both the control and replica dam.

From the water surface elevation data collected, rating curves were developed for both control and replica beaver dam tests. In developing the rating curves, the water surface elevations at 1.5 meters upstream of the dam were used, as at this distance, the water profile was relatively level compared to close to the dam. Rating curves were developed using Sigma Plot using a form of the power equation. For the smooth

dam rating curve a power equation was used in the form:  $Q = a H^b$ , where  $Q$  is the flow rate,  $H$  is height of the water surface above the reference sill height, and  $a$  and  $b$  are fitting parameters with  $b$  restricted to a value of 1.5. For the replica beaver dam a rating curve was fit using a modified version of the power equation of the form:  $Q = a (H - H_0)^b$ , where  $Q$  is the flow rate,  $H$  is the height of the water surface above the reference sill height,  $H_0$  represents an average height of the sticks above the reference sill, and  $a$  and  $b$  are fitting parameters with  $b$  restricted to a value of 1.5. Both rating curves were then translated into the engineering form of the wier equation of the form:  $Q = CLH^{3/2}$ , where  $L$  is the width of the dam (0.91 m). Terms embedded in the  $C$  term are as follows:  $C = C_d C_v (2/3)^{3/2} g^{1/2}$ .  $C_d$  is the discharge coefficient which corrects for energy losses, velocity distributions and curvature of streamlines.  $C_v$  is the approach velocity coefficient and  $g$  is gravity (Clemmens 2001)

### ***Principal Findings and Significance***

Survey results are shown in Table 1 below. Surveys conducted during 2003 and 2004 showed variation of the number of intact dams along Little Muddy Creek. A comparison of April 2003 and April 2004 showed that the number of intact dams were decreased from 10 dams to 0. Many of the dams labeled as damaged still had water ponded and therefore showed a localized drop in water surface elevation. Compared to the April 15, 2003 survey, where the total water drop from all dams (intact and damaged) was 4.5 m, the water drop from all dams had been reduced to 2.2 m by April 4, 2004. By May 21, 2004, the same surveyed area had evidence of 5 intact dams.

**Table 1.** Summary of beaver dam surveys on Little Muddy Creek, Otoe County, Nebraska.

	Number of intact dams*	Number of damage dams	Channel Distance (km)	Total water drop from all dams (n dams)	Average water drop at intact* (n dams)	Intact* dams/km	Water drop from all dams/km (m/km)
April 15, 2003	10	4	0.67	4.5	0.4	14.9	6.7
April 4, 2004	0	18	0.67	2.2	0	0	3
May 21, 2004**	5	17	0.67	4.5	0.6	7.5	6.7
May 28, 2004***	1	22	0.67	3.6	1.0	1.5	5.4
August 29, 2004	8	16	0.67	3.2	0.3	11.9	4.8
February 2-March 16, 2005	3	6	6.61	6.6	0.5	2.0	1.0

Note: Surveys done between April 15, 2003 and August 29, 2004 were on 1st- and on a total length of 270 m of 1st-order and 400 m of 2nd-order reaches. The February survey was done downstream from 2nd - 3rd order reaches along 6610 m of the main channel. 2680 m of 2nd order and 3930 m of 3rd order streams.

\*Not included were dams that were degraded or damaged by storm runoff.

\*\*Survey following a 2-year storm


\*\*\*Survey following a 25-year storm

Comparison of the May 21, 2004 and May 28, 2004 surveys indicate the changes following a 25-year storm in the watershed with associated storm flows. The number of dams that were intact decreased from 5 to 1 over the surveyed area. The 25-year storm damaged all of the beaver dams except for one in a 1<sup>st</sup>-order tributary. The remaining beaver dam had a drop of 1 m. Damage to beaver dams from this storm is indicated by the reduction in the total water drop from all dams in the survey from 4.5 to 3.6 m. As indicated by the May 28<sup>th</sup> survey, the increase in average water drop of individual dams was caused by the one intact dam. Two months later, in August 2004, the total drop of all dams (3.2 m) had not yet reached the pre-25-year storm level of May 21, 2004 (4.5 m) and the average drop at individual dams also decreased from 0.6 m to 0.3 m from May 21, 2004 to August 2004.

Surveys done in Feb/March 2005 extended 6610 m further downstream along Little Muddy Creek, covering 2<sup>nd</sup> through 3<sup>rd</sup> order reaches of the main channel. Since the 2005 surveys were done earlier in the year, beaver activity was expected to be less evident than during the late spring and summer surveys. There was no significant difference between the average water level drop at intact dams or the number of intact dams per km when comparing the 1<sup>st</sup> – 2<sup>nd</sup> order to the downstream 2<sup>nd</sup>-3<sup>rd</sup> order surveys.

When compared to the smooth dam, the replica beaver dam showed an increase in water surface elevation for each of the four flow rates (Figure 2). This increased water surface elevation was approximately 30 cm for the lowest flow rate and 60 cm for the


highest flow rate. The rating curve fitted for the control dam was:  $Q = CLH^{3/2}$ , where  $C = 1.69 \text{ m}^{1/2}/\text{s}$ . The rating curve for the replica beaver dam was  $Q = CL(H-H_0)^{3/2}$ , where  $C = 1.61 \text{ m}^{1/2}/\text{s}$  and  $H_0 = 0.033 \text{ m}$ .



**Figure 2.** Rating curves for control dam and simulated beaver dam.

The field surveys of beaver dams showed a wide variation in hydraulic status of individual dams over the period from 2003 to 2005 (Figure 3). Dam integrity was seen to be compromised by storm runoff and general decline due to abandonment of the area by beavers. Dams that were damaged by increased storm runoff were not consistently repaired by beavers and repair may vary seasonally, however data is limited in making an assessment of seasonal beaver activity. The total water level drop per stream kilometer tended to correlate with the number of intact dams with the exception of the August 29, 2004 survey, when the number of intact dams increased while the total drop/km decreased. This data suggests that although the number of dams that were repaired had increased, they had not rebuilt to previous heights. This may be due to beavers abandoning the area or decreased dam building activity during the summer months.





**Figure 3.** Number of intact beaver dams over the survey period and impacts of the beaver dams on water drop.

The spatial arrangement of the dams also varied with time, with new dams being built and old dams left to degrade. There was a noticeable grouping of beaver dams where several dams would be clustered around a zone of beaver activity. Zones were noted by long gaps between groups of intact dams. In addition, evidence of recent beaver presence such as recently gnawed trees and beaver dens correlated strongly to clusters of intact dams. Field observations indicate that locations of intact dams have a positive spatial correlation to the beaver den.

The data collected in the field surveys is useful for deciding how to input dams in a channel evolution model. Such models typically require input of a structure that remains constant with time. Modeling beaver dams accurately may require dams that change in dimension in response to storm runoff and periodic abandonment or inactivity of beavers. While these direct relationships are not clearly defined by the survey data, the data suggests that these relationships do exist.

The laboratory tests showed an expected result, that the roughness of the sticks led to increased water height upstream of the dam compared to a smooth dam of equal base dimensions. While the replica beaver dam was constructed to be as realistic as possible, we were restricted from using mud on the dam, which may result in a

smoother surface at the sill of actual beaver dams. Field surveys showed that beaver dams had exposed sticks on the top and backside of dams, with mud typically pushed up along the upstream edge of the dam sill. However, given this laboratory restriction, the generated rating curves give a starting point from which to model a beaver dam as a broad-crested weir, adjusting the C coefficient for roughness of the sticks compared to a broad-crested weir of a homogeneous material (earthen, plywood, etc).

Hydraulic characteristics of beaver dams in our watershed were observed to vary temporally over both long and short term periods. This is a very significant finding because to accurately model the long-term impact of the dams on the stream morphology the temporal nature of the dams will have to be adequately described. These variations occurred with both dam structure and locations. Dam structure varied over both longer and shorter periods of time. For example, some dams damaged by storm runoff were repaired within a period of weeks while others were never repaired, their structure disintegrating over a longer period of time.

Spatial arrangement of beaver dams along the stream also varied with time. Locations of intact dams along the 2<sup>nd</sup> order reaches of Little Muddy Creek shifted generally downstream with dams in the uppermost parts of the 2<sup>nd</sup> order reach being abandoned over the course of our study. Surveys in the lower portion of the watershed (2<sup>nd</sup> – 3<sup>rd</sup> order reaches) indicated fewer dams (intact and damaged) existed than in the upper portion of the watershed (1<sup>st</sup> – 2<sup>nd</sup> order).

The results of laboratory testing of a beaver dam replica indicate that it was reasonable to model these structures as broad-crested weirs, but it appears that it may be necessary to adjust the crest elevation of the dam with an offset. In the present case this offset was about 3 cm, but the offset likely depends on geometric characteristics of the dam.

### ***Uncompleted Work***

The balance of this project will be completed using funds provided by the Nebraska Department of Environmental Quality and the USDA National Needs PhD. Fellowship Program. The key component that remains is modeling the long-term impacts of the dams. We have chosen to use the USDA model, CONCEPTS (Langendoen, 2000). We have met with the model's author, Eddie Langendoen, to discuss the details of applying this model to our problem.

### ***Notable Achievements***

The results of this phase of the study have provided us with valuable and necessary data that will be used to model the long-term impacts of beaver dams in an agricultural stream in eastern Nebraska. The hydraulic characteristics of beaver dams and their spatial and temporal distribution are key data that are required to accurately

model the beaver dam impacts on stream channel morphology. The fact that an earlier portion of this study demonstrated stream aggradation rates of 0.65 m in a 12 year periods in a reach impacted by beaver suggests that there is significant potential for beaver to help restore degraded stream channels in agricultural areas. The data collected in this project has put our team in a better position to pursue funding for a larger study of beaver impacts in the Little Nemaha River Basin of Nebraska.

## ***References***

- Clemmens, A.J., Wahl, T.L., Bos, M.G., and J.A. Replogle. 2001. Water Measurement with Flumes and Wiers. ILRI. Publication 58. Wageningen, The Netherlands.
- Denney, R.N. 1952. A summary of North American beaver management: 1946-1948. Report of the Colorado Game and Fish Department, Denver, Colorado, USA.
- Langendoen, E.J. 2000. CONCEPTS-Conservation channel evolution and pollutant transport system: Stream corridor version 1.0. Research Report No. 16. U.S. Sedimentation Laboratory, Oxford, MS.
- Lohnes, R.A. 1997. Stream channel degradation and stabilization: the Iowa experience: Proceedings of the Conference on Management of Landscapes Disturbed by Channel Incision, May 1997, Oxford, Mississippi.
- McCullough, M.C., J.L. Harper, D.E. Eisenhauer, and M.G. Dosskey. 2004. Channel aggradation in beaver dams on a small agricultural stream in eastern Nebraska. In: J.L. D'Ambrosio (ed). Self-Sustaining Solutions for Streams, Wetlands, and Watersheds. Proceedings of the 12-15 September 2004 ASAE Conference, St. Paul, MN.
- Naiman, R.J., Melillo J.M. and J.E. Hobbie. 1986. Ecosystem alteration of boreal forest streams by beaver (*Castor Canadensis*). *Ecology* 67:2365-2369.
- Naiman, R.J., Johnston, C.A. and J.C. Kelley. 1988. Alteration of North American streams by beaver. *BioScience* 38:753-762.
- Rus, D.L, Dietsch, B.J., and A. Simon. 2003. Streambed Adjustment and Channel Widening in Eastern Nebraska. USGS Water-Resources Investigations Report 03-4003. Lincoln, Nebraska.
- Soenksen, P.J., Turner, M.J., Dietsch, B.J. 2003. Stream Bank Stability in Eastern Nebraska. USGS Water-Resources Investigations Report 03-4265. Lincoln, Nebraska.
- Strahler, A.N. 1957. Quantitative analysis of watershed geomorphology. *American Geophysical Union Transactions*. 38: 913-920.

Wahl, K.L. and L.S. Weiss. 1988. Channel degradation in southeastern Nebraska rivers: In: Ward, T.J., editor. Watershed Management: Planning for the 21st Century. American Society of Civil Engineers, New York, New York.

Zellars, J.A. and R.H. Hotchkiss. 1997. Channel stability adjacent to highly irrigated land: Eagle Run Creek, Omaha, Nebraska: Proceedings of the Conference on Management of Landscapes Disturbed by Channel Incision, May 1997, Oxford, Mississippi.